FLORIDA ASSOCIATION OF ORTHODONTISTS

P.O. Box 611 • Brandon, FL 33509



EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE 2019-2020

OFFICERS

Dr. Shreena Patel, President 3540 3rd Street South Jacksonville Beach, FL 32250 (904) 241-2471 orthogator@gmail.com

Dr. Henry Rozen, Vice President 9154 Wiles Road Coral Springs, FL 33067 (954) 755-8828 hrozen@earthlink.com

Dr. William Neale, Secretary/Treasure 824 N Eglin Parkway Fort Walton Beach, FL 32547 (850) 244-3880 billyneale@aol.com

DIRECTORS

Dr. Thomas (TJ) Albert 5641 Naples Boulevard Naples, FL 34109 (239) 592-6300 tealbert@gmail.com

Dr. John Metz 20743 Sterlington Drive Land O Lakes, FL 34638 (813) 948-6389 jmetz@metzorthodontics.com

Dr. Arghavan Welch 11360 Legacy Avenue #120 Palm Beach Gardens, FL 33410 (561) 232-6754 drwelch@gardensorthodontics.com

Dr. John Cordoba 734 Stirling Center Pl. Lake Mary, FL 32746 (407) 444-9960 jxc@cordobaortho.com

PAST PRESIDENTS

Dr. John Richards Dr. John Beattie

EXECUTIVE DIRECTOR

Lissette Zuknick (813) 903-8811 lissette@wcdental.org

May 14, 2019

The Honorable Ron DeSantis, Governor State of Florida Plaza Level 05, The Capitol 400 South Monroe Street Tallahassee, FL 32399-0001

Dear Governor DeSantis:

On behalf of the Florida Association of Orthodontists (FAO) and our 650 members, I urge you to veto House Bill (HB) 23 on telehealth.

HB 23 does not require out-of-state telehealth providers to be held to the same standards as Florida licensed health care providers. The bill would only require them to register with the Department of Health (DOH) or their respective health care board instead of going through the licensure process. Florida licensed health care providers are subject to fees and other related costs to practice in this state, while this bill allows out-of-state telehealth providers to just register and not be subject to these costs. HB 23 does not provide any measures where an out-of-state telehealth provider would be subject to the DOH emergency suspension orders; therefore, jeopardizing the safety of Florida patients. HB 23 does not require insurance companies to reimburse for telehealth services as in-person services and does not provide any direction or guidelines on payments to providers using telehealth. Under commercial insurance policies, as well as the Medicaid program, there are procedures and processes outlined in statute relating to prompt payment of claims and other safeguards related to reimbursement for services rendered. HB 23 does not address any of these issues at all. Furthermore, the bill does not provide any mechanisms to prevent out-of-state telehealth providers from dictating and directing ancillary providers to work outside of their scope of practice, which could be different from Florida laws depending on where the out-of-state telehealth provider is licensed.

The FAO believes that Florida citizens could benefit from telehealth services, but not under the provisions in HB 23. In order for telehealth to be implemented statewide, legislation adopted into law must consider input from the workforce willing to participate in this modality and as evident during the numerous committee hearings during the 2019 legislative session, health care provider groups all agreed that HB 23 is not the infrastructure or foundation for telehealth in Florida.

For the reasons outlined in this letter, the FAO does not support HB 23 and urge you to veto HB 23.

Sincerely,

Shreena Patel, DMD FAO President

Annual Meeting: March 6-8, 2020 Meeting Chairman – Dr. Henry Rozen